1 RAPID COMMUNICATION

2	A simple and efficient method for extraction of PCR-amplifiable DNA
3	from chicken eggshells
4	Kazuhiro RIKIMARU ¹ and Hideaki TAKAHASHI ²
5	¹ Livestock Experiment Station, Akita Prefectural Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries
6	Research Center, Daisen, Japan, and ² Animal Breeding and Reproduction Research Team,
7	National Institute of Livestock and Grassland Science, Tsukuba, Japan
8	
9	Correspondence: Hideaki Takahashi, Animal Breeding and Reproduction Research
10	Team, National Institute of Livestock and Grassland Science, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-
11	0901, Japan. (E-mail: naoe@affrc.go.jp)
12	
13	Running title: DNA extraction from chicken eggshells

1 ABSTRACT

2 Recently, we reported a method for discriminating a Japanese brand of chicken, the 3 Hinai-jidori. As an application of this method for discriminating Hinai-jidori eggs, 4 we here report an efficient method for extracting maternal DNA from eggshells. 5 Eggshell powder was completely decalcified with EDTA solution, and then DNA 6 was isolated by conventional phenol-chloroform extraction and ethanol precipitation. 7 The efficiency of DNA recovery from eggshells was 50-fold higher than that of a 8 previously reported method. The recovered DNA could be used for polymerase 9 chain reactions and 10 makers for identifying the Hinai-jidori chicken were detected. 10 The genotypes of the Hinai-jidori exactly matched those of the Hinai-dori breed. 11 Using this method, Hinai-jidori and Hinai-dori eggs could be distinguished from the 12 eggs of Rhode Island Reds. This is the first report of a technique that can be used to 13 discriminate the eggs of Hinai-jidori from those of other chickens, and it can also be 14 utilized to validate the labeling of Hinai-jidori eggs in the market. 15 Key words: chicken, egg, brand discrimination, Hinai-jidori chicken, microsatellite 16 markers.

1 INTRODUCTION

Food traceability is defined as the ability to follow particular foods through all stages of the food chain, from production to sale (Committee of the Guidelines for Introduction of Food Traceability Systems of Japan 2003), and its use is increasingly becoming standard practice throughout the agrifood industry. In this respect, DNA identification technology has been playing an important role in refining existing meat traceability systems since it ensures that products can be traced to the animal of origin.

9 The Hinai-dori is a chicken breed native to the Akita Prefecture of Japan. The 10 Hinai-jidori, a cross between a Hinai-dori sire and a Rhode Island Red dam, has 11 been commercialized and is a popular brand in Japan. However, in 2007, cases of 12 the false labeling of Hinai-jidori chickens, including processed meat and eggs, came 13 to light. Recently, we developed a method for discriminating the Hinai-jidori 14 chicken from other chickens (Rikimaru & Takahashi 2007). It is relatively easy to 15 extract DNA from meat using standard phenol-chloroform methodology; however, 16 the extraction of DNA from eggs is of relatively limited applicability owing to the 17 low yield of collected DNA (Strausberger & Ashley 2001). In addition, the eggs of 18 the Hinai-jidori chicken cannot be distinguished from other pale brown eggs solely 19 by outward appearance. Thus, DNA identification of Hinai-jidori eggs is also 20 desirable. If a method for extracting DNA from eggshells with high yield could be 21 developed, we would be able apply the method of Rikimaru & Takahashi (2007) for 22 identifying Hinai-jidori eggs.

Here, accordingly, we describe an efficient method for extracting nuclear DNA
from chicken eggshells. Using the DNA extracted from eggshells, we obtained
microsatellite genotypes that can be used for the identification of Hinai-jidori eggs.

1 MATERIALS AND METHODS

2 Samples

Unfertilized eggs of the Hinai-dori (n = 8) and Rhode Island Red (n = 8) breeds
were collected from the Livestock Experiment Station, Akita Prefectural Agriculture,
Forestry and Fisheries Research Center. The unfertilized eggs of Hinai-jidori (n = 8)
were collected from the Goto Farm (Kita-akita, Japan).

7 **DNA extraction**

8 Material on the surface of the eggs was sponged away under running water. The 9 inner and outer shell membranes were removed, and the resultant eggshells were 10 rinsed with sterile water and dried at room temperature. The eggshells were then 11 physically powdered using a pestle and mortar. Forty milligrams of the eggshell 12 powder was placed into a 1.5-ml microfuge tube, to which was added 800 µL of 0.5 13 M EDTA-2Na (ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid disodium salt, pH 8.0). The tube 14 was placed in a shaker (M·BR-022; TAITEC, Tokyo, Japan) and incubated 15 overnight at 56 °C with vigorous shaking (1400 rpm). Following the addition of 0.6 16 mL of phenol-chloroform, the tube contents were mixed by rotating the tube slowly 17 on the wheel of rotator (RT-50, TAITEC) for 6 h. The tube was then centrifuged for 18 10 min and the upper layer transferred into a fresh 1.5-mL tube. Diethyl ether (0.6 19 mL) was then added and the tube was vortexed for 15 s. The tube was subsequently 20 centrifuged for 1 min and the upper layer containing ether was removed. DNA was 21 precipitated by the addition of 0.6 mL of ethanol, rinsed twice with 70% ethanol, 22 and dissolved in 30 μ L of deionized sterile water. The DNA was quantified using a 23 spectrophotometer (GeneQuant Pro; GE Healthcare, Amersham, UK).

24 Microsatellite genotyping

25 Nine ABR markers (ABR1003, ABR0241, ABR031, ABR1004, ABR1013, ABR0633,

26 ABR1005, ABR0089, and ABR100, Takahashi et al. 2005; Rikimaru & Takahashi

1 2007) and one ADL marker (ADL0250, Cheng et al. 1995) on chromosome Z 2 exhibiting one fixed fragment size in the Hinai-dori breed (Rikimaru & Takahashi 3 2007) were genotyped. DNA was amplified in a $15-\mu$ L reaction volume, which 4 contained 2.5 pmol of each primer for each marker, 200 µM of each dNTP, 0.8 mM 5 MgSO₄, 0.5 units of KOD Plus polymerase (KOD-201; Toyobo, Tokyo, Japan), 1× 6 reaction buffer provided by the supplier, and 10 ng genomic DNA, in a 96-well 7 plate in an iCycler Thermal Cycler (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA). 8 Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was performed as follows: a hot start of 2 min at 9 94 °C; 40 cycles of 15 s at 94 °C, 30 s at 58 °C, and 30 s at 68 °C; and a final 10 elongation of 9 min 30 s at 68 °C. The PCR products were run with the internal size 11 standard (GENESCAN 400HD [ROX] Size Standard; Perkin-Elmer, Foster City, 12 CA, USA) in an ABI PRISMTM 3100 DNA Sequencer (Perkin-Elmer). The size of 13 the fragments was analyzed using GeneScan (Version 3.7) and GeneMapper 14 (Version 2.0) programs (Perkin-Elmer). Alleles were designated according to PCR product size, and allele frequencies were calculated directly from the observed 15 16 genotypes.

1 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

2 The DNA yields from 40 mg of eggshell ranged from 498 to 1689 ng (Table 1), with 3 an average of 813 ng per sample. The sample yield per milligram of eggshell ranged 4 from 12.5 to 42.2 ng. The extraction of DNA from eggshells was not considered 5 possible until the method of Strausberger & Ashley (2001) was reported. 6 Strausberger & Ashley (2001) obtained DNA yields ranging from 15 to 90 ng from 7 50 mg eggshell of the brown-headed cowbird, *Molothrus ater*. The yield per 8 milligram eggshell ranged from 0.3 to 1.8 ng. The efficiency of DNA recovery from 9 eggshells in the current study was 50-fold higher than that obtained by Strausberger 10 & Ashley (2001). The key point of our protocol is that eggshells are completely 11 decalcified with EDTA solution, whereas Strausberger & Ashley (2001) digested 12 eggshell powder using proteinase K without decalcification. EDTA does not only 13 decalcify the eggshell but also protects DNA from the action of nucleases that require metallic ions, e.g., Mg^{2+} and Mn^{2+} , for activation. Consequently, the high 14 15 efficiency of DNA recovery considerably reduces the chance of contamination in 16 the subsequent PCR, and also facilitates analysis of a number of markers. 17 In the present study, all the DNA samples obtained from the eggshells were 18 successfully amplified by PCR for 10 markers on the Z chromosome. The 10 19 markers revealed one fixed allele each in the Hinai-dori and Hinai-jidori eggs (Table 20 1). The allele size of each marker was consistent with that reported by Rikimaru & 21 Takahashi (2007). It was found to be easy to distinguish between the eggs Hinai-22 dori and Rhode Island Red chickens and between those of Hinai-jidori and Rhode 23 Island Red chickens. Our results suggest that the DNA embedded in eggshells was 24 derived from the egg-laying female since the genotypes of the Hinai-dori eggs 25 correspond to those of the Hinai-dori breed, as described previously (Rikimaru & 26 Takahashi 2007). As expected, the genotypes of the Hinai-jidori eggs corresponded

to those of the Hinai-dori breed; i.e., Hinai-jidori chickens possess a Z chromosome
derived from a Hinai-dori male parent. The data we obtained in the present study
establishes the following: (1) eggshells are a good source for extracting maternal
DNA, (2) DNA from eggshells is amplifiable by PCR, and (3) the eggs of Hinaijidori can be distinguished from other eggs.

In conclusion, a simple and improved method for extracting DNA from
eggshells has been described. This method will allow researchers to collect maternal
DNA with a reduced need to capture female chickens. Furthermore, this method will
contribute to discriminating the eggs of Hinai-jidori from other eggs on the market,
and it will also assist in validating the labeling of Hinai-jidori eggs.

11 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

12 We thank the officers of the Livestock Experiment Station, Akita Prefectural

13 Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries Research Center, and the Goto Farm for their

14 kind assistance in the collection of eggs. This work was supported by Akita

15 Prefectural Government and National Agriculture and Food Research Organization

16 (NARO), Japan.

REFERENCES

2	Cheng HH, Levin I, Vallejo RL, Khatib H, Dodgson JB, Crittenden LB, Hillel J.
3	1995. Development of a genetic map of the chicken with markers of high utility.
4	Poultry Science 74, 1855–1874.
5	Committee of the Guidelines for Introduction of Food Traceability Systems of Japan.
6	2003. Guidelines for Introduction of Food Traceability Systems. Ministry of
7	Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries, Tokyo, Japan (In Japanese).
8	Rikimaru K, Takahashi H. 2007. A method for discriminating a Japanese brand of
9	chicken, the Hinai-jidori, using microsatellite markers. Poultry Science 86,
10	1881–1886.
11	Strausberger BM, Ashley MV. 2001. Eggs yield nuclear DNA from egg-laying
12	female cowbirds, their embryos and offspring. Conservation Genetics 2, 385-
13	390.
14	Takahashi H, Tsudzuki M, Sasaki O, Niikura J, Inoue-Murayama M, Minezawa M.
15	2005. A chicken linkage map based on microsatellite markers genotyped on a
16	Japanese Large Game and White Leghorn cross. Animal Genetics 36, 463-467.

Table1 Yields o	t rec	overed DNA from	eggshells	s and allel	e size of	each micr	osatellit(es (base)					
		DNA recov	very					Mari	kers				
Chicken	Eg	g Concentration	Total	ABR1003	ADL0250	ABR0241	ABR0311	ABR1004	ABR1013	ABR0633	ABR1005.	ABR0089	ABR1007
	N_0	$(ng/\mu L)$	(ng)										
Hinai-jidori	1	27.3	819	161	159	98	208	216	133	261	207	216	228
	2	34.8	1044	161	159	98	208	216	133	261	207	216	228
	က	19.8	594	161	159	98	208	216	133	261	207	216	228
	4	22.1	663	161	159	98	208	216	133	261	207	216	228
	õ	18.8	564	161	159	98	208	216	133	261	207	216	228
	9	33.9	1017	161	159	98	208	216	133	261	207	216	228
	2	27.0	810	161	159	98	208	216	133	261	207	216	228
	8	19.0	570	161	159	98	208	216	133	261	207	216	228
Hinai-dori	1	31.9	957	161	159	98	208	216	133	261	207	216	228
	0	43.6	1308	161	159	98	208	216	133	261	207	216	228
	က	32.1	963	161	159	98	208	216	133	261	207	216	228
	4	27.1	813	161	159	98	208	216	133	261	207	216	228
	õ	22.9	687	161	159	98	208	216	133	261	207	216	228
	9	56.3	1689	161	159	98	208	216	133	261	207	216	228
	7	39.0	1170	161	159	98	208	216	133	261	207	216	228
	8	31.0	930	161	159	98	208	216	133	261	207	216	228
Rhode Island Red	ې ب	17 K	595	155	157	130	208	918	133	971	207	216	998
	+ 01 2	16.6	498	155	157	118	194	216	134	271	207	216	228
	က	17.9	537	155	157	130	194	217	134	261	207	218	228
	4	28.0	840	159	159	98	208	217	133	271	213	218	228
	ũ	23.0	690	148	157	118	194	216	134	261	207	216	228
	9	20.1	603	155	157	130	194	217	134	261	207	218	228
	5	19.1	573	155	157	118	194	216	134	271	207	216	228
	x	21.5	645	159	157	130	210	216	135	261	207	218	228

ered DNA from eggshells and allele size of each migrosatellites

1	速 報
2	鶏の卵殻からのPCR増幅可能なDNA抽出手法の開発
3	力丸宗弘 ¹ •高橋秀彰 ²
4	¹ 秋田県農林水産技術センター畜産試験場,大仙市 019-1701
5	² 独立行政法人農業・食品産業技術総合研究機構畜産草地研究所,つくば市 305-0901
6	最近、我々は秋田県特産の比内地鶏のDNA識別手法を開発した。しかしながら、市
7	場鶏卵(未受精卵)からのDNA抽出が困難なため、同法は比内地鶏の卵の識別に応用
8	されていない。そこで、卵殻からのDNA抽出手法を開発した。卵殻を乳棒・乳鉢で粉
9	砕し、0.5モルのエチレンジアミン四酢酸二水素ナトリウム(EDTA-2Na、pH8.0)溶
10	液を用いて完全に脱灰した。その後、常法にしたがって、フェノールークロロホルム抽
11	出およびエタノール沈殿を行い、DNAを回収した。その結果、従来法よりも50倍効
12	率的にDNAが回収できた。同DNAを鋳型として、比内地鶏の識別に用いる10個の
13	マイクロサテライトマーカーのポリメラーゼ連鎖反応(PCR)による増幅が可能だっ
14	た。同マーカーにおける比内地鶏の卵の遺伝子型は、比内鶏と合致し、比内地鶏の卵は、
15	ロードアイランドレッド種の卵と簡単に識別できた。簡便かつ効率的な、卵殻からのD
16	NA抽出手法が確立したことにより、比内地鶏の卵のDNA識別が可能になった。